

Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of (Opponents)

The Politicians and Proponents are Misleading us...that's enough to Vote No on Measure Q.

Get the Facts

No Accountability:

If you read the legal fine print, there's No Mention of "accountability," "audits," or "transparency" and No independent oversight committee.

No Funding Issues:

Ontario has a balanced budget and continuous revenue. The city's budget predicts sales tax growth this year without Tax Doubling Measure Q because, as Ontario grows with more people and businesses, so will tax revenue. That covers any expanding needs as it is designed to do.

No Danger to Police, Fire & Paramedic Service:

Our existing taxes pay for the best equipped and staffed public safety services in San Bernardino County to the envy of others. Ontario will have no problem maintaining its high-quality public safety services in the future if money is managed properly.

No Increase Needed:

Measure Q's funds are not earmarked but go into the city's general fund for politicians' pet projects. Without question, we already pay for street repairs, youth programs, and an entire crime prevention bureau in the police department. The independent Ontario Municipal Utilities Company has responsibility for water improvements, that's why we pay our water bill. Lastly, developers pay for infrastructure improvements, not taxpayers.

We've built a large and growing coalition of bipartisan residents, nonprofit and community organizations, faith leaders, and business owners,

Join us in Voting No on Measure Q...keep our city strong into the future and money in your pocket.

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
REGD. MAIL PERMIT NO. 1001

ballot measure Q at the November 8, 2022 Statewide General Election election for the
Measure Letter *Title of Election*

City of Ontario to be held on November 8, 2022 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction *Date of Election*

argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. Chris Robles [Signature] 8-24-2022
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
Chair, FairOntario.com [Email Address] [Phone]
Title *Email Address* *Phone*

2. Sam Crowe [Signature] 8-25-22
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
President, West End Democratic Club [Email Address] [Phone]
Title *Email Address* *Phone*

3. Melissa May [Signature] 8/24/2022
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
Founder & President, Ontario LULAC #3296 [Email Address] [Phone]
Title *Email Address* *Phone*

4. Stephen May [Signature] 8/24/22
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
President, Greater Ontario Democratic Club [Email Address] [Phone]
Title *Email Address* *Phone*

5. Randy Bekendam [Signature] 8/24/22
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
Ontario Farmer, Resident, and Local Businessman [Email Address] [Phone]
Title *Email Address* *Phone*