

FILED

AUG 31 2022

BY FC DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor Measure D

San Bernardino County

County of San Bernardino (charter amendment)

November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election

Word Count: 243

(Raw word count 250; -4 for San Bernardino Board of Supervisors, -1 for Measure D, -1 for Measure K)

Electronic Version: [http://\[REDACTED\]CALBONDS/2022-general/san_bernardino-measure-d-argument-against.txt](http://[REDACTED]CALBONDS/2022-general/san_bernardino-measure-d-argument-against.txt)

Text of Argument Below This Line

=====

Beware the wolf in sheep's clothing.

The San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors' offer of increased tax protection is a gambit designed to fleece voters.

For proof of this claim review **Section VII Conflicting Measures** in the board's proposed amendment.

In this section the ruling elite ***threatens voters!***

Section VII proposes to ***retaliate against voters*** should they alter the elected supervisors' pay or term limits at any time in the future. If voters choose to do so, the so-called ***tax protection*** offered ***will be repealed***.

This poison pill demonstrates the true spirit behind the entire proposed charter amendment. The single crumb offered voters - increased tax protection - is contingent on the voters' continued submission to elected leaderships' edicts on their own pay and term limits.

In its ***effect*** Measure D will:

- Give sitting supervisors the chance to hold office a total of 16 long years (Section 203, 204),
- Offers Tax Protection voters ***already have*** under the State Constitution (Section 207)
- Ensure county supervisors retain their quarter million compensation package (Section 208),
- Remove the compensation and term limits set by voters via Measure K in 2020 (Section III)

Supporters of Measure D contend it takes more than four years for a county supervisor to become effective at their craft. ***County voters overwhelmingly rejected this argument in 2020!***

Measure D is simply an effort to overturn, rather than establish, the expressed will of the voters. It is nothing but a scheme concocted by wolves to fleece the electorate.

Connect here: <http://bit.ly/NoFraudulentReform>

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

AUG 31 2022







BY FC DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure D at the General Election election for the
Measure Letter Title of Election

County of San Bernardino to be held on November 8, 2022 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction Date of Election

argument is true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

- | | | | |
|----|---|--|---|
| 1. | Honor "Mimi" Robson
<i>Print Name</i> | 
<i>Signature</i> | 08/30/2022
<i>Date</i> |
| | Libertarian Party of California Chair
<i>Title</i> | 
<i>Email Address</i> | 
<i>Phone</i> |
| 2. | Tom Murphy
<i>Print Name</i> | 
<i>Signature</i> | 08/30/2022
<i>Date</i> |
| | President, The Red Brennan Group
<i>Title</i> | 
<i>Email Address</i> | 
<i>Phone</i> |
| 3. |
<i>Print Name</i> |
<i>Signature</i> |
<i>Date</i> |
| |
<i>Title</i> |
<i>Email Address</i> |
<i>Phone</i> |
| 4. |
<i>Print Name</i> |
<i>Signature</i> |
<i>Date</i> |
| |
<i>Title</i> |
<i>Email Address</i> |
<i>Phone</i> |
| 5. |
<i>Print Name</i> |
<i>Signature</i> |
<i>Date</i> |
| |
<i>Title</i> |
<i>Email Address</i> |
<i>Phone</i> |