REBUTTAL

to the Argument in Favor of Measure "U"

As We the People of Big Bear consider this special tax, we should keep in mind that this extra tax is ON TOP OF our regular property taxes, that the hospital receives.

Everything the hospital does will continue, without this additional tax, that the corporations have devised. The hospital makes a big profit on its services, without this extra property tax.

The hospital district CEO (who works for the Tennessee corporation) ran out of a recent government meeting, when faced with the truth. They don't want us to know what they're actually doing.

The sales pitch they feed us comes from the same campaign organization that tried to sell us the failed fire tax. We were told that fire stations would close, but they didn't. Instead the fire department just bought a \$1.8 Million fire truck! Same here, they say they need our money, but it's just the "Story of NEED" the corporations cooked up. They think we are stupid hicks.

Our property taxes go to a huge private equity group in New York City. We've heard of "Big Pharma", this is "Big Medical". Multi-Billion dollar corporations find little towns to suck money out of.

If we Vote NO on Measure "U", our taxes will DECREASE!

The hospital district made over 6 Million dollars in profit just this year; and holds over 43 Million dollars in reserves!

We the People have the power to save Big Bear from corporate greed.

See the documents https://www.facebook.com/BigBearTaxpayersAssociation

VOTE NO on Measure "U".

FILED

BY DEPLY

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The	e undersigned proponent(s) o	r author(s) of th	e:				
Check the appropriate box below:					EILED		
	☐ Argument In Favor of (P	roponents)	烈 英重工匠工匠				
	Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)						
Argument Against (Opponents)					Chil.	Seguro	
	Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents) Reputtal to the Argument Against (Proponents)						
ballot measure at the			General Presidential			election for the	
	Measure Letter			Title of Elec	tion		
San	Bernardino County/Bear Vi	alley Healthcare	District to	be held on	11-5-2024	hereby state that this	
	Jurisdiction				Date of Election		
argument is true and correct to the best of			his		knowledge and	f helief	
an , 80	y tark of a facility of the control	THE PERSONNEL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSONNEL PROPERTY ADDRESS OF		er/their	Charles and Company of the Second	a somether.	
Pro	ponents/Authors: Oppone	nts					
I.	Joseph Kelly Print Name					8-22-2024 Date	
		letina				Date	
	Big Bear Taxpayers Assoc	lation	EMQII AQQI	PSS		Phone	
	4 7 M-1-46		MATERIAL PROMISE			E COMPANY	
2.							
	Print Name		Signature			Date	
	Title		Email Add	ess		Phone	
3							
ME.	Print Name		Signature			Date	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
	Title		Email Add	255		Phone	
4.							
	Print Name		Signature			Date	
	Title		Email Add	522		Phone	
5.							
	Print Name		Signature			Date	
	Title		Email Add	ess		Phone	